

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			<u> </u>	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NÂMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,156	12/27/2000	Stefan Jung	34648-00435USPT 8694	
7590 07/06/2004			EXAMINER	
Richard J. Moura Jenkens & Gilchrist, P.C. 3200 Fountain Place 1445 Ross Avenue			LIPMAN, JACOB	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	1 1
Dallas, TX 75202-2799			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004	0 33

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/751,156	JUNG, STEFAN				
Office Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Jacob Lipman	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 De	ecember 2000.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 09/751,156

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 9/04/2002 has been considered by the examiner.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 14 line 10, "28" should read "27".

On page 22 line 16, "62" should read "52".

On page 23 line 10, "Figure 6" should read "Figure 4".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Comer, in Internetworking with TCP/IP Volume 1 Principals, Protocols, and Architecture.

With regard to claims 1 and 9, Comer discloses a method of synchronizing data in an IP network (pages 203-205) including, generating a sequence number associated with each packet (page 204) and transmitting the sequence number with the data packet (page 205). Comer further discloses that the packet can be encrypted (pages 475-476).

Application/Control Number: 09/751,156

Art Unit: 2134

With regard to claim 10, Comer discloses that the receiving sequence number is extracted from the packet (page 217).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-8 and 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Menezes et al., in Handbook of Applied Cryptography, in view of Comer.

With regard to claims 2 and 11, Comer discloses the method of claim 1, as outlined above, but does not mention decrypting the packet based on the sequence. Menezes discloses decrypting packets based on their sequence (page 193). Menezes further discloses that using stream ciphers is advantageous in telecommunications applications (page 191). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a stream cipher in a TCP/IP environment for Menezes' disclosed motivation.

With regard to claims 3 and 12, Comer discloses that error reporting is common in TCP/IP (pages 123-137).

With regard to claims 4-6, 13-15, and 18, Comer discloses that in the event of an error, it is common to retransmit packets (page 209).

With regard to claims 7, 8, 16, and 17, the examiner takes official notice that if jittering occurs, and sequence numbers might be confused, it is well known in the art and obvious to adjust the length of the number to compensate for such jittering.

Application/Control Number: 09/751,156

Art Unit: 2134

Double Patenting

7. Applicant is advised that should claim 15 be found allowable, claim 18 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 703-305-0716. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 4:00 (M-Th).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Application/Control Number: 09/751,156 Pag

Art Unit: 2134

JL

Page 5